interactive creation of well-typed expressions in Domain Specific Languages

#### **Pieter Koopman**<sup>1</sup>, Steffen Michels<sup>2</sup>, Rinus Plasmeijer<sup>1,2</sup>

1: Radboud University Nijmegen 2: top-software.nl





Top Software Technology

## interactive creation of well-typed DSL expressions

- •a Domain Specific Language, DSL, is programming language specialized to a particular domain
  - e.g. queries over ships and their risks for the coast guard
  - Task Oriented Programming, TOP, for workflows
  - co-operative devices for the Internet of Things, IoT
  - tax forms and associated calculations
  - ...
- •our DSLs are embedded inside another language: eDSL
  - inherit all operations of the host language
  - we are particularly focused on strong typing
- focus of today: interactive creation of DSL expressions
  - e.g. dynamic creation of a query over ships
  - can we avoid parsing, type-checking and dynamic linking?

#### editor for deep embedded DSL

running example with integers and Booleans
:: Expr = Int Int | Bool Bool | Add Expr Expr | And Expr Expr | Eq Expr Expr | If Expr Expr Expr • making a browser-based editor for this type:
derive class iTask Expr
Start world = doTasks (updateInformation [] (Int 0)) world





### iTask editor magic: generic programming

type driven generic functions:

- •1 algorithm for all datatypes
- •uniform encoding of types

```
:: PAIR a b = PAIR a b
```

```
:: EITHER a b = LEFT a | RIGHT b
```

```
:: CONS a = CONS a
```

- :: UNIT = UNIT
- •define operation (here edit) for PAIR, EITHER, Int etc.
- •implicit transformation to and from generic type

:: Expr = Int Int | Bool Bool | Add Expr Expr | And Expr Expr | Eq Expr Expr | If Expr Expr Expr :: GExpr = EITHER (CONS INT) // for Int Int (EITHER (CONS BOOL) // for Bool Bool (EITHER (CONS (PAIR Expr Expr)) // for Add Expr Expr ...

•Clean derives editor for Expr based on generic editors

## limitations of this approach

:: Expr = Int Int | Bool Bool | Add Expr Expr | And Expr Expr | Eq Expr Expr | If Expr Expr Expr • allows expression that are well-type in Clean, but incorrectly typed in the Expr-DSL • some ill-typed DSL expressions required type Add (Int 42) (Bool True) // Add :: Int Int -> Int And (Int 42) (Bool True) // And :: Bool Bool -> Bool If (Int 42) (Bool True) (Int 7) // If :: Bool a a -> a we need Eq (Int 42) (Bool True) // Eq :: a a -> Bool overloading

- •we want a static type system spotting these errors
- •we do not want to write our own type-checker !

#### dynamics to the rescue



these dynamics can implement our runtime type-checker!

Radboud University

## dynamic editors

•plan:

- 1. programmer specifies list of labelled dynamic functions
  - each dynamic specifies a typed DSL construct
  - additional details for grouping, layout etc.
- 2. system selects items that produce required result type
- 3. user selects option in GUI based on label
- 4. dynamic editor used recursively for function arguments
- •options to avoid DSL type-errors
- 1. better type information in editor
- 2. better typed DSL
- •this is more work than generic deriving an editor, but ensures that we obtain correctly typed DSL expressions

#### 1: better type information in editor

:: Expr = Int Int | Bool Bool | Add Expr Expr | And Expr Expr | Eq Expr Expr | If Expr Expr Expr :: Typed a b = Typed a // b holds additional type information

#### •dynamic editor cases needed

[de "int value" (dynamic \i.Typed (Int i) :: Int ->Typed Expr Int)
,de "Bool val" (dynamic \b.Typed (Bool b) :: Bool->Typed Expr Bool)
,de "add" (dynamic \(Typed x) (Typed y) -> Typed (Add x y) ::
 (Typed Expr Int) (Typed Expr Int) -> Typed Expr Int) no errors
,de "equality" (dynamic \(Typed x) (Typed y).Typed (Eq x y) ::
 A.a: (Typed Expr a) (Typed Expr a) -> Typed Expr Bool)
,de "if" (dynamic \(Typed c) (Typed t) (Typed e).Typed (If c t e)::
 A.a:(Typed Expr Bool) (Typed Expr a) (Typed Expr a)->Typed Expr a)

this approach prevents all type problems

**Radboud** University

8

• •

# 1: better type information in editor 2



•user can make only DSL-type-correct instances: success !

## overloading in the DSL

• in our DSL Eq and If should be overloaded:

,de "equality" (dynamic \(Typed x) (Typed y).Typed (Eq x y) :: A.a: (Typed Expr a) (Typed Expr a) -> Typed Expr Bool) ,de "if" (dynamic \(Typed c) (Typed t) (Typed e).Typed (If c t e):: A.a:(Typed Expr Bool) (Typed Expr a) (Typed Expr a)->Typed Expr a)

- •based on type Typed Expr a any Expr is allowed
  - the dynamic editor does allow any Expr
  - it uses dynamics to unify the arguments
  - indicates an error as soon as unification fails

Could not unify Typed Expr Int with Typed Expr Bool

	integer value 🛛 🗸		Boolean value	~	0
equaity	137	<b>•</b>			

#### preventing unification errors

•we can prevent unification by specialisation of type

,de "eq int" (dynamic \(Typed x) (Typed y).Typed (Eq x y) :: (Typed Expr Int) (Typed Expr Int) -> Typed Expr Bool) ,de "eq Bool" (dynamic \(Typed x) (Typed y).Typed (Eq x y) :: (Typed Expr Bool) (Typed Expr Bool) -> Typed Expr Bool)

• now the dynamic editor knows the type of arguments: no dynamic unification



•number of cases explodes if we have many types



#### 2: better typed DSL – GADT based



```
2: better typed DSL – GADT based 2
                  argument a indicates the type, we do
:: Expr a
                  not need :: Typed a b = Typed a
 = Lit a
  Add (BM a Int) (Expr Int) (Expr Int)
  E.b: Eq (BM a Bool) (Expr b) (Expr b) & == b
[ de "integer value"
 (dynamic \i -> Lit i :: Int -> Expr Int)
, de "add" (dynamic \x y -> Add bm x y ::
              (Expr Int) (Expr Int) -> Expr Int)
, de "eq Int" (dynamic x y - Eq bm x y ::
              (Expr Int) (Expr Int) -> Expr Bool)
, de "eq Bool" (dynamic x y \rightarrow Eq bm x y ::
              (Expr Bool) (Expr Bool) -> Expr Bool)
```

. . .

the GADT approach makes the editor simpler

# 2: better typed DSL 2



Correct DSL types are enforced by host language compiler

Not only during edit

onditional 🗸 🗸			
Cond:	eq Int	~	
	Select	$\sim$	
	Select	$\sim$	
Then:	integer value	$\sim$	
	42		<b>÷</b>
lse:	add	$\sim$	
	integer value	$\sim$	
	137		<b>÷</b>
	Select	$\sim$	
	Select		
	Integer		
	integer value		
	add		
	Conditional		
	conditional		



#### DSL-variables

challenges:

- •type of the variable
- •existence of appropriate variable definition before use
  - even a GADT cannot guarantee this

```
:: Expr a
```

```
= Lit a
| Var String
| Add (BM a Int) (Expr Int) (Expr Int) | ...
```

our solution:

- •define a shared pool of typed variables in the editor
- •dynamic editor selects well-typed items from this pool
  - in iTasks we can edit the pool and the expression concurrently

better editors can make this pool on the fly



#### DSL-variables 2

Variables			Construct an expression	
Idnt	Share	Val	Push the 'Run' button to evaluate this expression.	
x	False	1	Collection and	
У	False	2	Select V	
a	False	False		
b	False	True		
p	False	Alonzo Church		
q	False	Moses Schonfinkel	A Contraction of the second	
sds1	True	return		
Idnt*: Share: Val*: Select ~		add	a value       Select         40       Select         Select       Int expression         add       subtract         Conditional       Conditional	~
		on varial the co	a conditional Constants and varial a value x y	bles
				Nomine se

#### more serious example: interactive workflow editor

Add

Variables		
Idnt	Share	Val
а	False	False
b	False	True
р	False	Alonzo Church
q	False	Moses Schonfinkel
r	False	nobody
sds1	True	1
х	False	1
у	False	2

#### Add new variable

Idnt*:
0
Share:
Val*:
Select 🗸

		The second se		
bind	1	~		
	Per	son 🗸		
	sele	ect one option		
		button and task v		
		Mr Lambda		
		return ~		
		p ~		
		button and task		
		Mr Combinators		
		return ~		
		q ~		
r		<u> </u>		
	seq	<u> </u>		
		Person ~		
		update ~ change person	r	$\sim$
		return v		
		a value ~		
		42		



### more serious example: executing the composed task



- this show an restricted editor for iTask
- •we can immediately execute the created task
- •we do not want to make this a full programming language, but dynamic creation of limited tasks is very useful

ask a demo in the breaks



#### conclusion

- •our goal: create well-typed DSL expressions dynamically
- •iTask can derive editors for plain data-types, but this allows ill-typed DSL expressions
- the dynamic type-system can solve our type problems
- •two approaches:
- 1. add additional information to editor, but not to type
- 2. improve the type holding the DSL, generics cannot handle it
- •use a pool of typed variables to guarantee type and existence
- •more tricks in the forthcoming paper

ask a demo in the breaks



better editors can make this pool on the fly

**Radboud** University

#### shallow embedded DSL

- •shallow embedding is based on functions
- •dynamic editors make datatypes, but we can use the results immediatly
- •type for all results:
- :: Val a = Val a